Airborne Observation from **Experimental Burn:** Fire Behavior Computation, Plume Simulation, and IR image simulation

Ronan Paugam

CERTEC: Elsa Pastor, Eulalia Planas, Alba Agueda **CESBIO**: Jean-Philippe Gastelou-Etchegorry, Nicolas Lauret, Omar Regaieg, Eric Chavanon **CERFACS**: Melanie Rochoux, Corentin Lapere Others: Jean-Baptiste Filippi, Ruddy Mell, Martin Wooster











The Fire Triangle new paradigm – R. Paugam















Can we used airborne IR fire observation and atmospheric modeling to compute local Fire behavior [FB] metrics and wind field.

Objectives:

- 1. Setting up a robust methodology for estimating fire behavior (FB) metrics at high spatial and temporal resolution [1m, 1Hz]
 - (a) orthorectification
 - (b) segmentation
 - (c) computation of FB metrics
- Using local FB [flaming + smoldering] to simulate wind flow The Fire Burner methodology: convert FB to Heat release Rate Map

Fire behavior metrics:

- ROS (m/s)
- Burning time (s)

- FRP (kW)
- Flame Depth (m) Flaming Residence time (s) FRE (kJ)



FRE:Fire Radiative Energy FRP: Fire Radiative Power

Introduction:

Fire Field Scale



March 2010, UK Northumberland

Fire Behaviour Metrics

calucation



 $\overrightarrow{0.3}$ ROS $(m \ s^{-1})$





Fieldwork Campaign

4 burns conducted in 2014 in Kruger Natioanl Park. Operated from the helicopter:



ING'S • MIR Camera (FLIR Agema 550, 3Hz, T>470K)

- LWIR Camera (Optris 400, 1Hz, 270-900K)
- University of London visible GoPro with IR filter removed

Pause of the cameras are unpredictable
Cameras do not the same field of view
Their relative pointing directions are not fix
They are only nearly synchrnized
No geometric cameras calibration



visible (3840x2880 px)











Georeferencing LWIR



Shabeni1: •No Corner Fire •Intense fire

- No fix Ground Control Point (Corner Fire)
- Flat terrain
- Use Ground Control Points only on the first image
- Compute homography matrix to warp image with the combination of
 - a **feature-based** method (Lucas–Kanade of *n* last processed images as a template
 - a multi-resolution area-based (Enhanced Correlation Coefficient, ECC) method using one reference image as a template.

1st step: 530 images warped in 2.5h







AN AN AN

img000000 with gcp from 1 frames

200

175

150

125 -

100

75

50 -

25

removed



0

0

100

200

300

400

500

600

2nd step: Georeferencing + Optimization to

correct for parallax effects using feature in

the cooling vegetation



수 + • 😔•

ĝ



Comparison with existing algorithm





Fire Front Perimeter Segmentation

- A Deep Learning approach: C. Lapeyre & N. Cazard
- **Constraint**: Fire Front segmentation using only one image
- **Challenge**: training a neural network with a small data set counting initially **10 manually annotated front**



CCN input are Brightness temp. + plot





Series of CNN



Georeferencing + Segmentation









[Paugam et al 2021 – mdpi/remote sensing]

Fuel Consumption Estimation



Georeferencing MIR & FRP Calculation

FLIR Agema 550 (12-bit imager). To assure radiometric precision in fire range temperature, camera is set on high gain, hence not detecting background. (T>470K)





The Fix Burner Method & MesoNH-ForeFire

MesoNH:

- Non-hydropstatic (solve vertical wind)
- Anelastic (filter sound wave)
- LES Scheme (subgrid turb. based on TKE)
- Microphysic (water phase change)
- Nested model (large scale effect)
- Chemistry (O₃, Nox,)



Fire Flux Experiment simulation [Filippi et al

new Flux Model in ForeFire:

- force Sensible Heat directly from Observation.
- Assume a radiative fraction of 10%.
- Scalar Flux control with Emission Factor
- Water Vapor emitted ahead of the front



ForeFire (dx ~ 1 m): [Filippi et al 2009]

- Semi-physical fire spread model (fire=tilted radiant panel), [Balbi et al 2009]
- Flux Coupling Scheme which ensures energy conservation between Forefire and host meshes

•Output Water Vapour, Scalar, & Sensible Heat Fluxes



Residence Time τ & Flame Depth F_d

Compute τ from time series of BT and BT derivative.

High frame rate is crucial







The Fix Burner Method: Input data







The Fix Burner Method: ambient condition









2m resolution inner model



Animation is showing Isocontour of lambda-2 criteria (min of pressure)



camera here * wind





Animation is showing Isocontour of **Iambda-2 criteria** (min of pressure)



- Counter rotating vortex pair oscillate and form vortex ring
- Formation of tornado structure downwind name fire whirls

camera here





Heat Flux











The Fix Burner Method: Input data

MIR, 1m resolution





2m resolution inner model



Animation is showing Isocontour of lambda-2 criteria (min of pressure)







Role of the cooling area



surface wind difference between Obs and Mod simulation

Obs: Previous simulation

Mod:

Fix nominal heat flux Fix residence time

Same total heat release





Synthetic IR image simulation





DART



- 1. Sun illumination followed by atmosphere scattering and thermal emission.
- 2. Earth surface RT.
- 3. Earth-atmosphere radiative coupling.
- 4. Earth surface RT of atmosphere **backscattered radiation.**
- 5. Transfer of BOA upward radiation to **TOA**.



forest of the RAMI IV experiment

Hot spot is a feature of the reflectance distribution of vegetation canopy

DART-FT: discrete ordinates method that iteratively tracks rays along finite discrete direction **DART-Lux**: Monte Carlo sampling of paths between the light source and the detector and evaluates the contribution of these path samples. It is based on the **luxCore** engine ray tracing.

DAO tools: python application to import complex scene.





Gas absorption coefficient

Beer-Lambert $\tau = e^{-\sigma d}$ fix distance d +

4 Dimensional lookup table that depends of parameter d $\sigma_d(x_{CO_2}, x_{CO_1}, x_{H_2O_1}, T)[m^{-1}]$

Soot absorption coefficient κ_s

[Bordbar et al 2020]

 $\kappa_{\rm s} = \alpha_n \nu_{\rm s} \eta$

$$n_s = 1.811 + 0.1263 ln(\lambda) + 0.027 ln^2(\lambda) + 0.0417 ln^3(\lambda)$$

 $k_{\rm s} = 0.5821 + 0.1213\ln(\lambda) + 0.2309\ln^2(\lambda) - 0.01\ln^3(\lambda)$

$$\alpha_{\eta} = \frac{36\pi n_{s}k_{s}}{\left(n_{s}^{2} - k_{s}^{2} + 2\right)^{2} + 4\pi n_{s}^{2}k_{s}^{2}}$$

 v_s soot volume fraction

η wave number

(1)





100m

Medium Scale Fire - FDS

1 ha plot of grass



Low moisture (6%) 21 cm height 0.25x0.125 cm resolution 2 layers of different density

t=86s



time (s)

DART Lux: 1 band 1 sensor Degraded resolution x2 At 50s: 29207 plots with vegetation. 29300 plots with char. 0 plots with ash. 2458 plots with gas or soot. 0 plots in the plume.

1 scene: 15 min 4GB Mem

 $MC = \beta_{cf} FRE$ $\chi_{\rm r} = {^{FRE}/_{H~MC}} = {^1/_{H~\beta_{\rm cf}}} \approx 0.14$

14

FRP (MW)

center pinhole camera 200 m above the plot

 $MLR = \beta_{cf} FRP$

 $MLR(FRP_{DART})$



T1000

- 900

-800

-700

-500

-400

-600 ff

(K

BT





Medium Scale Fire – flame geometry effect





Medium Scale Fire – flame geometry effect



Effect of flame on FRP calculation





Conclusion

- Map Fire Behavior metrics at high resolution
- Model high resolution wind field induced by observed fire behavior
- Strong impact of the cooling area on the wind field
- Model FRP from FDS scene using DART (still in progress)
- Potential impact of flame geometry on FRP

Next:

- More detailed analysis of the wind field and ROS field
- Data set of ROS, wind and fuel load (here from FRE)
- Collecting more data using UAV and an optris P640 piloted with a raspberry (30Hz frame rate).



Thanks

You can see more on the work of the 3DFirelab project at

<u>3dfirelab.eu</u>

Acknowledgments

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie, grant H2020-MSCA-IF-2019-892463, and the projects TED2021-130484B-100 and PID2020-114766RB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033.











